Compressive System Identification

Helmut Bőlcskei

ETH Zurich

November 2011

Joint work with R. Heckel

Channel sounding

in wireless communications

- in wireless communications
- in underwater acoustic communications

- in wireless communications
- in underwater acoustic communications
- Control engineering

- in wireless communications
- in underwater acoustic communications
- Control engineering
- Radar imaging

- in wireless communications
- in underwater acoustic communications
- Control engineering
- Radar imaging
 - in astronomy

- in wireless communications
- in underwater acoustic communications
- Control engineering
- Radar imaging
 - in astronomy
 - in air and on water

$\mathbb H$ is an unknown linear operator (e.g., system or channel)



$\mathbb H$ is an unknown linear operator (e.g., system or channel)

$$\begin{array}{c} x(t) \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} r(t) \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

Determine \mathbbm{H} from response r(t) to known probing signal x(t)

Is this always possible?

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,1} & h_{1,2} & \dots & h_{1,N} \\ h_{2,1} & h_{2,2} & \dots & h_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ h_{N,1} & h_{N,2} & \dots & h_{N,N} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Cannot extract N^2 coefficients from N observations

Review the **fundamental limits** of system identification

- Review the **fundamental limits** of system identification
- Show how we can **"break" these limits** when **H** is "sparse"

All "reasonable" bounded, linear operators can be represented as [*Gröchenig*, 2001]:

$$r(t) = (\mathbb{H}x)(t) = \iint S_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau, \nu) x(t-\tau) e^{j2\pi\nu t} d\nu d\tau$$
$$= \int h(t, \tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau$$

$$\underbrace{h(t,\tau)}_{\text{kernel}} = \int \underbrace{S_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau,\nu)}_{\text{spreading function}} e^{j2\pi\nu t} d\nu$$

All "reasonable" bounded, linear operators can be represented as [*Gröchenig*, 2001]:

$$r(t) = (\mathbb{H}x)(t) = \iint S_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau, \nu) x(t-\tau) e^{j2\pi\nu t} d\nu d\tau$$
$$= \int h(t, \tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau$$

$$\underbrace{h(t,\tau)}_{\text{kernel}} = \int \underbrace{S_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau,\nu)}_{\text{Spreading function}} e^{j2\pi\nu t} d\nu$$

Determine $h(t, \tau)$ (or $S_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau, \nu)$) from r(t) and knowledge of x(t)

Identification of LTI systems

For LTI systems:

$$r(t) = \int g(\tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau$$

For LTI systems:

$$r(t) = \int g(\tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau$$

Identification:

$$x(t) = \delta(t) \implies r(t) = g(t)$$

For LTI systems:

$$r(t) = \int g(\tau) x(t-\tau) d\tau$$

Identification:

$$x(t) = \delta(t) \implies r(t) = g(t)$$

LTI systems are always identifiable

Why it always works in the LTI-case

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_{N-1} \\ r_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_{N-1} & g_N \\ g_2 & \dots & \dots & g_N & g_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ g_{N-1} & g_N & g_1 & & \\ g_N & g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Why it always works in the LTI-case

$$\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_{N-1} \\ r_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_{N-1} & g_N \\ g_2 & \dots & \dots & g_N & g_1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ g_{N-1} & g_N & g_1 & & \\ g_N & g_1 & g_2 & \dots & g_{N-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The $N \times N$ Toeplitz (or circulant) system matrix \mathbb{H} is fully specified by N parameters

The general case

Identification in the linear time-varying (LTV) case:

$$x(t) = \delta(t) \Rightarrow r(t) = \int h(t,\tau)\delta(t-\tau)d\tau = h(t,t)$$

The general case

Identification in the linear time-varying (LTV) case:

$$x(t) = \delta(t) \Rightarrow r(t) = \int h(t,\tau)\delta(t-\tau)d\tau = h(t,t)$$

Not sufficient to identify the system



Track evolution of LTV system by transmitting a Dirac train

$$x(t) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - \ell t_0)$$

Track evolution of LTV system by transmitting a Dirac train

$$x(t) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - \ell t_0)$$

Corresponding output signal is

$$r(t) = \sum_{\ell = -\infty}^{\infty} h(t, t - \ell t_0)$$









Assume that $h(t, \tau)$ is band-limited to $[-\nu_0, \nu_0]$ with respect to t



Assume that $h(t, \tau)$ is band-limited to $[-\nu_0, \nu_0]$ with respect to t



Sufficient condition for identifiability

• To recover $h(t,\tau)$ from r(t) it is sufficient to have

sampling theorem

$$\underbrace{2\tau_0 \le t_0}_{2\tau_0} \le \frac{1}{2\nu_0}$$

no overlap between $h(t, t-lt_0)$

Sufficient condition for identifiability

• To recover $h(t,\tau)$ from r(t) it is sufficient to have

sampling theorem

$$\underbrace{2\tau_0 \le t_0}_{2\nu_0} \le \frac{1}{2\nu_0}$$

no overlap between $h(t, t-lt_0)$

III is identifiable if



 $\underbrace{4\tau_0\nu_0}_{\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}}))} \le 1$

Theorem [*Kailath*, 1963] The set $\mathcal{H} \triangleq \{\mathbb{H} : \operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq [-\tau_0, \tau_0] \times [-\nu_0, \nu_0]\}$ is identifiable if and only if

 $4\tau_0\nu_0\leq 1.$

Theorem [Kailath, 1963] The set $\mathcal{H} \triangleq \{\mathbb{H} : \operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq [-\tau_0, \tau_0] \times [-\nu_0, \nu_0]\}$ is identifiable if and only if $4\tau_0\nu_0 \leq 1.$

- Underspread channels $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \leq 1$
- Overspread channels $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) > 1$

Practical systems are often "sparse"

Underwater acoustic communication channels [Eggen, 1997]



Sparse spreading function in mobile communications



General support area for $S_{\mathbb{H}}$


General support area for $S_{\mathbb{H}}$



General support area [Bello 1969; Pfander & Walnut 2006] $\mathcal{H}_M \triangleq \{\mathbb{H} : \operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq M\}$ is identifiable if and only if $\mathcal{A}(M) \leq 1$.

General support area for $S_{\mathbb{H}}$



General support area [Bello 1969; Pfander & Walnut 2006] $\mathcal{H}_M \triangleq \{\mathbb{H} : \operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}}) \subseteq M\}$ is identifiable if and only if $\mathcal{A}(M) \leq 1$.

But support area needs to be known!

Counting signal space dimensions [Kailath, 1963]

 \blacksquare Input signal has bandwidth 2W

- Output signal observed over an **interval** of length 2D
- Use the 2WT-Theorem [Landau, Pollak, Slepian, 1961-62]







Counting signal space dimensions cont'd



Counting signal space dimensions cont'd



Counting signal space dimensions cont'd



Identification: $4WD \ge 4WD \cdot \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \le 1$

Unknown support in ν direction only



 $S_{\mathbb{H}}(au,
u)$ is a "sparse" multi-band signal as a function of u

An excursion into sampling of (sparse) multi-band signals

Sampling of multi-band signals

Spectrum has sparse support in $[-f_0, f_0]$



Sampling of multi-band signals

Spectrum has sparse support in $[-f_0, f_0]$



Ĵο

Sampling of multi-band signals

Spectrum has sparse support in $[-f_0, f_0]$





4-fold undersampling: $f_s = f_0/2$



Landau's multi-band sampling theorem

- Spectral occupancy $\mathcal{T} \in [-f_0, f_0]$
- Sampling set
 - $\mathcal{P} = \{t_n\} \to \{x(t_n)\}$



Landau's multi-band sampling theorem

- Spectral occupancy $\mathcal{T} \in [-f_0, f_0]$
- Sampling set $\mathcal{P} = \{t_n\} \rightarrow \{x(t_n)\}$



[Landau, 1967]: To reconstruct stably need

$$D^{-}(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\mathcal{P} \cap [t, t+r]|}{r} \ge |\mathcal{T}|$$

 $D^-(\mathcal{P}):$ lower Beurling density



Landau's multi-band sampling theorem

- Spectral occupancy $\mathcal{T} \in [-f_0, f_0]$
- Sampling set $\mathcal{P} = \{t_n\} \rightarrow \{x(t_n)\}$



[Landau, 1967]: To reconstruct stably need

$$D^{-}(\mathcal{P}) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\mathcal{P} \cap [t, t+r]|}{r} \ge |\mathcal{T}|$$

 $D^-(\mathcal{P})$: lower Beurling density



There exists a stable universal sampling set \mathcal{P} with $D^{-}(\mathcal{P}) = |\mathcal{T}|$ [Venkataramani & Bresler, 2001]

Unknown spectral support set

• Consider the set of all signals with | spectral support $| \leq C$



Unknown spectral support set

 \blacksquare Consider the set of all signals with |spectral support| $\leq C$



Multicoset sampling [Bresler, Feng, 1996,...]



A stable universal sampling set

$$Y_k(f) = \mathcal{F}\{y_k[m]\} = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X\left(f + \frac{m}{TL}\right) e^{j2\pi \frac{mk}{L}}, \quad f \in [0, 1/(TL))$$



A stable universal sampling set \mathcal{P} with $D^-(\mathcal{P}) = 2C$



Every $K \times K$ submatrix of \mathbf{F}^H has full rank

A stable universal sampling set \mathcal{P} with $D^-(\mathcal{P}) = 2C$



- **Every** $K \times K$ submatrix of \mathbf{F}^H has full rank
- No two different x(f) can map to the same y(f) if D⁻(P) ≥ 2×(Landau rate)

A stable universal sampling set \mathcal{P} with $D^-(\mathcal{P}) = 2C$



- **Every** $K \times K$ submatrix of \mathbf{F}^H has full rank
- No two different $\mathbf{x}(f)$ can map to the same $\mathbf{y}(f)$ if $D^{-}(\mathcal{P}) \geq 2 \times (\text{Landau rate})$

Spectrum-blind sampling entails a factor-of-two penalty in the sampling rate

Back to operator identification

Unknown support in τ or ν direction only

Unknown support in ν -direction only



Unknown support in τ or ν direction only

Unknown support in ν -direction only

Unknown support in au-direction only





Unknown support in τ or ν direction only

Unknown support in ν -direction only

Unknown support in au-direction only



How do we account for unknown support in τ and ν concurrently?

Main results [Heckel and HB, 2011]

Example: $\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2 \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$



$$\mathcal{X}(\Delta) = \{ \mathbb{H} \colon \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \leq \Delta \}$$

The set $\mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ is identifiable if and only if $\Delta \leq 1/2$.

Main results [Heckel and HB, 2011]

Example: $\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2 \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$



 $\mathcal{X}(\Delta) = \{ \mathbb{H} \colon \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \leq \Delta \}$

The set $\mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ is identifiable if and only if $\Delta \leq 1/2$.

Almost all $\mathbb{H} \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ can be identified if $\Delta < 1$.

Main results [Heckel and HB, 2011]

Example: $\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2 \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$



 $\mathcal{X}(\Delta) = \{ \mathbb{H} \colon \mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) \leq \Delta \}$

The set $\mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ is identifiable if and only if $\Delta \leq 1/2$.

Almost all $\mathbb{H} \in \mathcal{X}(\Delta)$ can be identified if $\Delta < 1$. \Rightarrow There is no penalty for not knowing $\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ upfront!

Probing signal: Periodic weighted Dirac train



Probing signal: Periodic weighted Dirac train



Reduce problem to solution of (continuum of) linear system of equations where $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the unknown

Sufficiency of $\Delta \leq 1/2$



Sufficiency of $\Delta \leq 1/2$



Zak transform [Janssen, 1988] of $r(t) = (\mathbb{H}x)(t)$:

$$\mathcal{Z}_r(t,f) \triangleq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} r(t - mTL) e^{j2\pi mTLf}$$

Sufficiency of $\Delta \leq 1/2 \operatorname{cont'd}$



 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{c}}$: Time-frequency translates of weighting sequence \mathbf{c}

A continuum of compressed sensing problems



A continuum of compressed sensing problems



By [Lawrence et al. 2005], there exists $\{c_0, ..., c_{L-1}\}$ such that every $L \times L$ submatrix of A_c has full rank

A continuum of compressed sensing problems



- By [Lawrence et al. 2005], there exists $\{c_0, ..., c_{L-1}\}$ such that every $L \times L$ submatrix of A_c has full rank
- No two different $\mathbf{s}(t, f)$ can map to the same $\mathbf{z}(t, f)$ if $\|\mathbf{s}(t, f)\|_0 \leq \frac{L}{2}$, i.e., if $\Delta \leq \frac{L}{2}\frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{2}$

Eliminating the factor of two penalty

There is no penalty for not knowing $\mathrm{supp}(S_\mathbb{H})$ upfront


Eliminating the factor of two penalty

There is no penalty for not knowing $\mathrm{supp}(S_\mathbb{H})$ upfront



■ Can identify supp $(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ if dimension of subspace spanned by $\mathbf{s}(t_1, f_1), \mathbf{s}(t_2, f_2), \dots$ is sufficiently large

Eliminating the factor of two penalty

There is no penalty for not knowing $\mathrm{supp}(S_\mathbb{H})$ upfront



- Can identify $supp(S_{\mathbb{H}})$ if dimension of subspace spanned by $s(t_1, f_1), s(t_2, f_2), \dots$ is sufficiently large
- MUSIC [*Schmidt*, 1986] or ESPRIT [*Paulraj et al.*, 1985] provably recover $S_{\mathbb{H}}$ when $\mathcal{A}(\operatorname{supp}(S_{\mathbb{H}})) < 1$

Thank you