



## Dr. Michael Reyer

## Tutorial 3 Friday, November 9, 2018

**Problem 1.** (Decpiher Blum-Goldwasser) Bob receives the following cryptogram from Alice:

The message *m* has been encrypted using the Blum-Goldwasser cryptosystem with public key  $n = 1333 = 31 \cdot 43$ . The letters of the Latin alphabet  $A, \ldots, Z$  are represented by the following 5 bit scheme: A = 00000,  $B = 00001, \ldots, Z = 11001$ . Decipher the cryptogram *c*. *Remark*: The security requirement to use at most  $h = \lfloor \log_2 \lfloor \log_2 (n) \rfloor \rfloor$  bits of the Blum-Blum-Shub generator is violated in this example. Instead, 5 bits of the output are used.

**Problem 2.** (Blum-Blum-Shub generator) The security of the Blum-Blum-Shub generator is based on the difficulty to compute square roots modulo n = pq for two distinct primes p and q with  $p, q \equiv 3 \mod 4$ .

Design a generator for pseudo-random bits which is based on the hardness of the RSA-problem.

**Problem 3.** (Basic requirements for cryptographic hash functions) Using a block cipher  $E_K(x)$  with block length k and key K, a hash function h(m) is provided in the following way.

Append *m* with zero bits until it is a multiple of *k*, divide *m* into *n* blocks of *k* bits each.  $c \leftarrow E_{m_0}(m_0)$ for *i* in  $1 \dots (n-1)$  do  $c \leftarrow c \oplus E_{m_0}(m_i)$ end for  $h(m) \leftarrow c$ 

The operator  $\oplus$  denotes bitwise adding modulo 2, or in other words XOR.

- a) Does this function fulfill the basic requirements for a cryptographic hash function?
- **b)** Does this function fulfill the basic requirements for a cryptographic hash function, if the operator XOR  $(\oplus)$  is replaced by AND  $(\odot)$ , i.e., bitwise multiplication modulo 2?
- c) Why is the replacement of XOR by AND a bad idea?