Signal recovery from incomplete data

Holger Rauhut Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik (Analysis) RWTH Aachen

Data Science: Theory and Applications RWTH Aachen October 26, 2015



RWITHAACHEN UNIVERSITY

European Research Council

Mathematics and Data Processing

Data processing is a constant source for mathematical problems

Some examples

- The Shannon-Nyquist sampling theorem is at the basis of most electronic communication systems
- Computer tomography requires theory of Radon transforms
- Design of WLAN standards (OFDM) uses tools from time-frequency / harmonic analysis
 - Reducing the power consumption of base stations for mobile communication leads to very deep problems in harmonic analysis (peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) problem)
- Machine learning techniques require a lot of mathematics, both for the design of algorithms as well as for their analysis
- Compressive Sensing: Signal reconstruction from small number of measurements

Goals: Mathematical analysis of basic data processing problems, fundamental limits, development and analysis of algorithms

Data, Signal and Image Processing







Medical Imaging

Cosmic Microwave Background Wireless communication







Image Processing

A/D Conversion

Massive Internet Data

Compressive sensing

Reconstruction of signals from minimal amount of measured data (Candès, Romberg, Tao; Donoho 2004)

Key ingredients

- Compressibility / Sparsity (small complexity of relevant information)
- Efficient algorithms (convex optimization)
- Randomness (random matrices)

Compressive sensing

Reconstruction of signals from minimal amount of measured data (Candès, Romberg, Tao; Donoho 2004)

Key ingredients

- Compressibility / Sparsity (small complexity of relevant information)
- Efficient algorithms (convex optimization)
- Randomness (random matrices)

Useful whenever it is difficult, expensive, time-consuming or impossible to obtain a large number of measurements.

Example applications:

- Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- Radar
- Wireless communications
- Astronomical signal processing
- (High-dimensional) Statistics
- Numerical solution of (High-dimensional) parametric PDEs

Sparsity / Compressibility



Data Compression

Most types of signals can be represented well by a sparse expansion, i.e., with only few nonzero coefficients in an appropriate basis (JPEG, MPEG, MP3 etc.).

Sparsity / Compressibility



Data Compression

Most types of signals can be represented well by a sparse expansion, i.e., with only few nonzero coefficients in an appropriate basis (JPEG, MPEG, MP3 etc.).

Compressive Sensing / Sparse Recovery

Sparse / Compressible signals can be recovered from only few linear measurements via efficient algorithms

Sparse Representations of Images



Niels

Wavelet Coefficients



Wavelet compression



98% of wavelet coefficients are set to zero; only largest coefficients are retained.











Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing: Recovery is possible if **x** belongs to a set of low complexity.

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing: Recovery is possible if **x** belongs to a set of low complexity.

 Standard compressive sensing: Sparsity (small number of nonzero coefficients)

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing:

Recovery is possible if \mathbf{x} belongs to a set of low complexity.

- Standard compressive sensing: Sparsity (small number of nonzero coefficients)
 - ► Refinements: block sparsity, joint sparsity, group sparsity

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing:

Recovery is possible if \mathbf{x} belongs to a set of low complexity.

- Standard compressive sensing: Sparsity (small number of nonzero coefficients)
 - ► Refinements: block sparsity, joint sparsity, group sparsity
- Low rank matrix recovery (matrix completion)

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing:

Recovery is possible if \mathbf{x} belongs to a set of low complexity.

- Standard compressive sensing: Sparsity (small number of nonzero coefficients)
 - ► Refinements: block sparsity, joint sparsity, group sparsity
- Low rank matrix recovery (matrix completion)

Phase retrieval

Recover a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ from underdetermined linear measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N},$

where $m \ll N$.

Key finding of compressive sensing:

Recovery is possible if \mathbf{x} belongs to a set of low complexity.

- Standard compressive sensing: Sparsity (small number of nonzero coefficients)
 - ► Refinements: block sparsity, joint sparsity, group sparsity
- Low rank matrix recovery (matrix completion)
 - Phase retrieval
- Low rank tensor recovery
 - Only partial results for tensor recovery available so far.
- Combinations of sparsity and low rank assumptions

Sparsity and Compressibility

- ▶ coefficient vector: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$
- support of **x**: supp $\mathbf{x} := \{j, x_j \neq 0\}$
- *s* sparse vectors: $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 := |\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{x}| \le s$.

Sparsity and Compressibility

- ▶ coefficient vector: $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$
- support of **x**: supp $\mathbf{x} := \{j, x_j \neq 0\}$
- *s* sparse vectors: $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 := |\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{x}| \le s$.

s-term approximation error

 $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x})_q := \inf\{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}\|_q, \mathsf{z} \text{ is } s\text{-sparse}\}, \quad 0 < q \leq \infty.$

x is called compressible if $\sigma_s(\mathbf{x})_q$ decays quickly in *s*.

Here $\|\mathbf{x}\|_q = (\sum_{j=1}^N |x_j|^q)^{1/q}$

Compressive Sensing Problem

Reconstruct an *s*-sparse vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ (or a compressible vector) from its vector \mathbf{y} of *m* measurements

 $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}, \qquad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times N}.$

Interesting case: $s < m \ll N$.



Preferably fast reconstruction algorithm!

$\ell_1\text{-minimization}$

 ℓ_0 -minimization is NP-hard:

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{C}^N} \|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}.$

$\ell_1\text{-minimization}$

```
\ell_0-minimization is NP-hard:
```

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{C}^{N}}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{0} \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}.$

 ℓ_1 minimization

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$

ℓ_1 -minimization

```
\ell_0-minimization is NP-hard:
```

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{C}^N}\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}.$

 ℓ_1 minimization

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$

Convex relaxation of $\ell_0\text{-minimization}$ problem.

Efficient minimization methods available.

ℓ_1 -minimization

```
\ell_0-minimization is NP-hard:
```

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{C}^N}\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}.$

 ℓ_1 minimization

 $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \quad \text{subject to} \quad A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$

Convex relaxation of ℓ_0 -minimization problem.

Efficient minimization methods available.

Alternatives: Greedy Algorithms (Matching Pursuits) Iterative hard thresholding Iteratively reweighted least squares

Mathematical Questions

- Which $m \times N$ matrices A are suitable?
- How many measurements m (in terms of sparsity s and signal length N) are needed for recovery?

Mathematical Questions

- Which $m \times N$ matrices A are suitable?
- How many measurements m (in terms of sparsity s and signal length N) are needed for recovery?

So far only random matrices are known to work provably well for sparse recovery.

Open to provide deterministic matrices A with rigorous recovery guarantees in the optimal parameter regime.

A typical result in compressive sensing

For a draw of a Gaussian random matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ an *s*-sparse vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ can be recovered exactly via ℓ_1 -minimization (and other algorithms) with high probability from y = Ax provided

 $m \geq Cs \ln(eN/s).$

Bound optimal;

A typical result in compressive sensing

For a draw of a Gaussian random matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ an *s*-sparse vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ can be recovered exactly via ℓ_1 -minimization (and other algorithms) with high probability from y = Ax provided

 $m \geq Cs \ln(eN/s).$

Bound optimal; Recovery stable under passing to approximately sparse vectors and under adding noise on the measurements.

A typical result in compressive sensing

For a draw of a Gaussian random matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}$ an *s*-sparse vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ can be recovered exactly via ℓ_1 -minimization (and other algorithms) with high probability from y = Ax provided

$m \geq Cs \ln(eN/s).$

Bound optimal; Recovery stable under passing to approximately sparse vectors and under adding noise on the measurements.

Similar results for certain structured random matrices:

 Randomly sampled Fourier transform of sparse vectors (Candès, Tao '06; Rudelson, Vershynin '08; Rauhut '07, '10, '14; Bourgain '14; Haviv, Regev '15)

$m \ge Cs \log^2(s) \log(N)$

 Subsampled random convolution of sparse vectors (Rauhut '09, '10; Rauhut, Romberg Tropp '12; Krahmer, Mendelson, Rauhut '14)

 $m \geq Cs \log^2(s) \log^2(N)$

Application: Magnetic Resonance Imaging



Comparison of a traditional MRI reconstruction (left) and a compressive sensing reconstruction (right). Acquisition accelerated by a factor of 7.2 by random subsampling of the frequency domain

Image courtesy of Michael Lustig and Shreyas Vasanawala, Stanford University

Remote sensing (radar imaging)



n antenna elements on square $[0, B]^2$ in plane z = 0. Targets in the plane $z = z_0$ on grid of resolution cells $r_j \in [-L, L]^2 \times \{z_0\}, j = 1, ..., N$ with mesh size *h*. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^N$: vector of reflectivities in resolution cells $(r_j)_{j=1,...,N}$.

Often sparse scene! $m = n^2$ with *n* antennas

Reconstruction via ℓ_1 -minimization

Sparse scene (sparsity s = 100, 6400 grid points):



Reconstruction (n = 30 antennas, 900 noisy measurements, SNR 20dB)



Recovery if $m \ge Cs \log^2(N)$ (Hügel, Rauhut, Strohmer 2014)

Low Rank Matrix Recovery Recover $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ of low rank from $y = \mathcal{A}(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, where $m \ll n_1 n_2!$

Low Rank Matrix Recovery

Recover $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ of low rank from $y = \mathcal{A}(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, where $m \ll n_1 n_2!$

Rank minimization problem $\min_{Z:\mathcal{A}(Z)=y} \operatorname{rank}(X)$ is NP-hard.

Low Rank Matrix Recovery

Recover $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ of low rank from $y = \mathcal{A}(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, where $m \ll n_1 n_2!$ Rank minimization problem $\min_{Z:\mathcal{A}(Z)=y} \operatorname{rank}(X)$ is NP-hard.

Observation: $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \|\sigma(X)\|_0$ where $\sigma(X)$ is vector of singular values of X

Nuclear norm minimization

 $\min \|X\|_* \quad \text{subject to } \mathcal{A}(X) = y$ with $\|X\|_* = \sum_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell}(X)$.

Recovery of rank r matrix X from m subgaussian random measurements (Fazel, Parrilo, Recht; Candès, Plan) when

 $m \geq Cr(n_1+n_2).$

Low Rank Matrix Recovery

Recover $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n_1 \times n_2}$ of low rank from $y = \mathcal{A}(X) \in \mathbb{C}^m$, where $m \ll n_1 n_2!$ Rank minimization problem $\min_{Z:\mathcal{A}(Z)=y} \operatorname{rank}(X)$ is NP-hard.

Observation: $\operatorname{rank}(X) = \|\sigma(X)\|_0$ where $\sigma(X)$ is vector of singular values of X

Nuclear norm minimization

 $\min \|X\|_* \quad \text{ subject to } \mathcal{A}(X) = y$

with $||X||_* = \sum_{\ell} \sigma_{\ell}(X)$.

Recovery of rank r matrix X from m subgaussian random measurements (Fazel, Parrilo, Recht; Candès, Plan) when

 $m \geq Cr(n_1+n_2).$

Subgaussian assumption can be relaxed: four finite moments are sufficient (Kabanava, Kueng, Rauhut, Terstiege '15).

Matrix completion

Complete missing entries of a low rank matrix:

/?	10	?	2	?	? \
3	?	?	?	3	?
?	?	14	?	?	14
?	15	6	?	?	?
6\	?	4	?	6	4 /

Recovery via nuclear norm minimization under certain assumptions on the singular vectors of X when

$$m \geq Cr(n_1 + n_2) \ln^2(n_1 + n_2).$$

Candès, Recht, Gross, ... Application: Consumer taste prediction (Netflix prize),...

Quantum state tomography

The state of a (finite-dimensional) quantum system is described by symmetric positive semidefinite matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with tr A = 1.

Quantum measurements often of the form

 $y_j = \mathcal{A}(X)_j := a_j^* X a_j = \operatorname{tr}(X a_j a_j^*), \quad j = 1, \dots, m$

Pure states: rank(X) = 1mixed states: $rank(X) = r \ll n$

Quantum state tomography

The state of a (finite-dimensional) quantum system is described by symmetric positive semidefinite matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ with tr A = 1.

Quantum measurements often of the form

 $y_j = \mathcal{A}(X)_j := a_j^* X a_j = \operatorname{tr}(X a_j a_j^*), \quad j = 1, \dots, m$

Pure states: rank(X) = 1mixed states: $rank(X) = r \ll n$

Recovery via nuclear norm minimization (Kabanava, Kueng, Rauhut, Terstiege '15):

► $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ independent Gaussian random vectors:

$m \ge Crn$

a_j ∈ C^N chosen at random from a (weighted, approximative)
4-design:

$m \geq Crn\log(n)$

Applications: quantum optical circuits, quantum computing?

What is data science?

What is data science?

 Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.
- Applications: what can be done with (large, small) data sets?

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.
- Applications: what can be done with (large, small) data sets?

Contributions of my group:

Analysis and development of data recovery algorithms

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.
- Applications: what can be done with (large, small) data sets?

Contributions of my group:

- Analysis and development of data recovery algorithms
- Fundamental performance limits (upper and lower bounds)

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.
- Applications: what can be done with (large, small) data sets?

Contributions of my group:

- Analysis and development of data recovery algorithms
- Fundamental performance limits (upper and lower bounds)
- Methods: Convex optimization, approximation theory, (structured) random matrices (via asymptotic geometric analysis), computational harmonic analysis

What is data science?

- Science of measuring, processing, manipulating, transmitting and understanding of data.
- Extracting (relevant) information from data.
- Applications: what can be done with (large, small) data sets?

Contributions of my group:

- Analysis and development of data recovery algorithms
- Fundamental performance limits (upper and lower bounds)
- Methods: Convex optimization, approximation theory, (structured) random matrices (via asymptotic geometric analysis), computational harmonic analysis

Thank you!

Questions?

Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis

 $\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \gamma} dx$

Simon Foucart Holger Rauhut

A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing

🕲 Birkhäuser