

Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Dr. Gholamreza Alirezaei, Dr. Arash Behboodi

Exercise 5

- Proposed Solution -

Friday, December 2, 2016

Solution of Problem 1

a) Note that:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|^2 = \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{x}_j - 2\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_j.$$

It is easy to check that:

$$(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T)_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j^T.$$

Consider $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n]^T$. We have:

$$\mathbf{1}_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This means that $(\mathbf{1}_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{x}_j$ and moreover $(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i$

Therefore:

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}^{(2)}(\mathbf{X})\right)_{ij} = (\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X})_{ij} - (\mathbf{1}_n \hat{\mathbf{x}}^T)_{ij} - (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T)_{ij}.$$

The element-wise identity implies the desired identity.

b) Since $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n$ is non-negative definite and has the rank $\text{rk}(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n) \leq k$, it can be written as:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T,$$

where $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$ are top k eigenvalues of the matrix $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n$ with corresponding orthonormal eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$. This can be obtained from spectral decomposition of $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n$. Using this representation, the matrix \mathbf{X} can be constructed as $\mathbf{X} = [\sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k]$. It can be seen that:

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_i^T = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n.$$

Moreover the image of $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{\Delta}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n$ is a subset of the image of \mathbf{E}_n . Therefore for all non-zero λ_i , the corresponding eigenvector \mathbf{v}_i belongs to the image of \mathbf{E}_n and since it is an orthogonal projection:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i.$$

If $\lambda_i = 0$, then trivially $\mathbf{E}_n \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \mathbf{v}_i = 0$. This means that:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \implies \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{X}^T.$$

- c) The direction where $\mathbf{A} = 0$ is trivial. Let us assume $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{A} \mathbf{E}_n = 0$. This means that the matrix \mathbf{A} takes each vector in the image of \mathbf{E}_n to the kernel of \mathbf{E}_n . Note that the kernel of \mathbf{E}_n is spanned by $\mathbf{1}_n$, so for each \mathbf{v} such that $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{1}_n = 0$, we have:

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}; \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} = \alpha \mathbf{1}_n.$$

Pick $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$. The equation above implies that $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v})_i = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v})_j$. But $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v})_k = a_{ki} - a_{kj}$. Therefore:

$$a_{ii} - a_{ij} = a_{ji} - a_{jj}.$$

But $a_{kk} = 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$ and \mathbf{A} is symmetric. Therefore $a_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j which means that $\mathbf{A} = 0$.

Solution of Problem 2

- a) First of all, note that:

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n.$$

Moreover:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} (\mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T) (\mathbf{X} - \bar{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{1}_n^T)^T.$$

Therefore:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} (\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) (\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T)^T = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{E}_n^T \mathbf{X}^T.$$

Using $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{E}_n$, we have \mathbf{S}_n is equal to $\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T$.

- b) The result of PCA is $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})$. This is indeed equal to $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}_i - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n)$. Constructing the matrix \mathbf{X} as suggested, the projected points can be written as:

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T) = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n.$$

- c) Let the singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ be:

$$\mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{U}_{p \times p} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}_{n \times p}^T.$$

It is known that:

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{U}^T,$$

and top k eigenvectors of \mathbf{S}_n are given therefore by picking first k columns of \mathbf{U} , denoted by \mathbf{U}_k . In any case, we have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{x}_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{x}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{x}_n \end{bmatrix} = [\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n],$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_i$ is the projected point into the k dimensional subspace. From the previous point, the projected points are given by $\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$.

See that:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T.$$

But :

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_1^T \mathbf{u}_p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{u}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{u}_p \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{I}_k \quad \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}].$$

Using the fact that $\Lambda_{ii}^2 = \lambda_i$, we have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} = [\mathbf{I}_k \quad \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}] \mathbf{\Lambda} = [\text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k})_{k \times k} \quad \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}]$$

Now write $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_p]$ where $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T = [\text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \sqrt{\lambda_2}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k})_{k \times k} \quad \mathbf{0}_{k \times p-k}] \mathbf{V}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1^T \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k^T \end{bmatrix}$$

- d) MDS starts by finding $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{D}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}_n$ which is $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ for Euclidean distance matrix. The spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ is then found by $\hat{\mathbf{V}} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \hat{\mathbf{V}}^T$ where $\hat{\mathbf{V}} = [\hat{\mathbf{v}}_1 \dots \hat{\mathbf{v}}_n]$ is the eigenvector matrix. Using SVD of $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ above we get:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Lambda}^2 \mathbf{V}^T.$$

Therefore if $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_p]$, then for $i = 1, \dots, p$ we have:

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_i = \mathbf{v}_i.$$

The solution to MDS is then $\mathbf{X}^{*T} = [\sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$. This means that:

$$\mathbf{U}_k^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{X}^*.$$

It shows that applying MDS on the distance matrix $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{X})$ provides the same result as PCA.

Solution of Problem 3

Consider four samples in \mathbb{R}^3 given as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

MDS steps are as follows:

- a) Find $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n$ where $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_n]$.
In this step, \mathbf{X} is obtained as:

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -4 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -3 & -2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

We have:

$$\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 15.875 & 11.625 & -9.125 & -18.375 \\ 11.625 & 21.375 & -18.375 & -14.625 \\ -9.125 & -18.375 & 15.875 & 11.625 \\ -18.375 & -14.625 & 11.625 & 21.375 \end{bmatrix}$$

- b) Find spectral decomposition of $\mathbf{E}_n \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{V} \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mathbf{V}^T$.
 For this example eigenvalues and eigenvectors are given by:

$$\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 61 \\ 13.5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.45267873 & 0.5 & -0.65666815 & 0.25502096 \\ -0.54321448 & -0.5 & -0.31320188 & -0.63102251 \\ 0.45267873 & 0.5 & -0.28197767 & -0.71157191 \\ 0.54321448 & -0.5 & -0.62544394 & 0.17447155 \end{bmatrix}$$

- c) \mathbf{X}^* is given by $[\sqrt{\lambda_1} \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_k} \mathbf{v}_k]^T$.

$$\mathbf{X}^{*T} = \begin{bmatrix} -3.53553391 & 1.83711731 \\ -4.24264069 & -1.83711731 \\ 3.53553391 & 1.83711731 \\ 4.24264069 & -1.83711731 \end{bmatrix}$$

Checking with PCA process, similar output is found.