

Prof. Dr. Rudolf Mathar, Dr. Michael Reyer, Jose Leon, Qinwei He

Exercise 9

- Proposed Solution -

Friday, January 12, 2018

Solution of Problem 1

a) $E_{a,b} : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_7$, $P_1 = (1, 1)$, $P_2 = (6, 2)$

$$P_1 \Rightarrow 1 \equiv 1 + a + b \Leftrightarrow a + b \equiv 0 \Leftrightarrow a \equiv -b \pmod{7}$$

$$P_2 \Rightarrow 4 \equiv 6 - 6b + b \Leftrightarrow 5b \equiv 2 \Leftrightarrow b \equiv 6 \Rightarrow a \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$\Rightarrow y^2 = x^3 + x + 6$$

Calculate $\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2) \equiv 5(4 + (-1) \cdot 1) \equiv 15 \equiv 1 \neq 0 \pmod{7}$. It follows $E_{1,6}$ is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_7 .

b) $E_{6,1} : y^2 = x^3 + 6x + 1$. With

$$\Delta = -16(4a^3 + 27b^2) \equiv 5(4 \cdot (-1)^3 - 1 \cdot 1) \equiv 3 \neq 0 \pmod{7}$$

is $E_{6,1}$ an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_7 .

x	x^2	x^3	$6x$	$x^3 + 6x + 1$
0	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	6	1
2	4	1	5	0
3	2	6	4	4
4	2	1	3	5
5	4	6	2	2
6	1	6	1	1

$$\Rightarrow y^2 \in \{0, 1, 2, 4\}$$

$$x^3 + 6x + 1 \in \{0, 1, 2, 4, 5\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{6,1}(\mathbb{F}_7) = \{(0, 1), (0, 6), (1, 1), (1, 6), (2, 0), (3, 2), (3, 5), (5, 3), (5, 4), (6, 1), (6, 6), \mathcal{O}\}$$

$$\#E_{6,1}(\mathbb{F}_7) = 12$$

The solutions for the inverses are

$$\begin{aligned}
 (0, 1) &= -(0, 6) \\
 (1, 1) &= -(1, 6) \\
 (6, 1) &= -(6, 6) \\
 (2, 0) &= -(2, 0) \\
 (3, 2) &= -(3, 5) \\
 (5, 3) &= -(5, 4) \\
 \mathcal{O} &= -\mathcal{O}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\#E_{6,1}(\mathbb{F}_7) = q + 1 - t \Leftrightarrow t = 7 + 1 - \#E_{6,1}(\mathbb{F}_7) = 8 - 12 = -4$

- c) It holds $\text{ord}(P) \mid \#E_{6,1}(\mathbb{F}_7) = 12 \Rightarrow \text{ord}(P) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$ (c.f. Lagrange's theorem).
- d) As just observed, the order of the subgroup generated by $Q = (1, 1)$ may be $\text{ord}(Q) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12\}$. We will eliminate one element after another from the set until we reach $\text{ord}(Q) = 12$. The conclusion will be that Q is a generator.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q \neq \mathcal{O} &\Rightarrow \text{ord}(Q) \in \{2, 3, 4, 6, 12\} \\
 4Q \neq \mathcal{O} \text{ (known from exercise)} &\Rightarrow \text{ord}(Q) \in \{2, 3, 6, 12\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Calculate $2Q$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 2Q &= (1, 1) + (1, 1) = (x, y), \text{ with} \\
 x &= \left(\frac{3x_1^2 + a}{2y_1} \right)^2 - 2x_1 = \left(\frac{3 \cdot 1 + 6}{2} \right)^2 - 2 \\
 &= \left(\frac{9}{2} \right)^2 - 2 = (9 \cdot 4)^2 - 2 = 1^2 - 2 = 6 \\
 y &= \left(\frac{3x_1 + a}{2y_1} \right) (x_1 - x) - y_1 = \frac{9}{2}(1 - 6) - 1 \\
 &= 1 \cdot 2 - 1 = 1 \\
 \Rightarrow 2Q &= (6, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{ord}(Q) = 2$, then $4Q = \mathcal{O}$, a contradiction $\Rightarrow \text{ord}(Q) \in \{3, 6, 12\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q + 2Q \neq \mathcal{O} \text{ (see inverses above)} &\Rightarrow \text{ord}(Q) \in \{6, 12\} \\
 2Q + 4Q \neq \mathcal{O} \text{ (see inverses above)} &\Rightarrow \text{ord}(Q) = 12
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that Q is a generator.

Solution of Problem 2

- a) $\Delta = -16(4 \cdot 4^3 + 27 \cdot 1) \equiv -4528 \equiv -3 \equiv 2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.
 $\Rightarrow E$ is an elliptic curve.
- b) We use the following table to determine the points.

z	$4z$	z^2	z^3	$1 + 4z + z^3$
0	0	0	0	1
1	4	1	1	1
2	3	4	3	2
3	2	4	2	0
4	1	1	4	1

This provides that $y^2 \in \{0, 1, 4\}$ and $x^3 + 4x + 1 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

So we only need to consider the cases where both terms are either equal 0:

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + 4x + 1 = 0 &\Rightarrow x = 3 \\ y^2 = 0 &\Rightarrow y = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or equal 1:

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 + 4x + 1 = 1 &\Rightarrow x \in \{0, 1, 4\} \\ y^2 = 1 &\Rightarrow y \in \{1, 4\} \end{aligned}$$

This enables us to find all the points on the curve:

$$E(\mathbb{F}_5) = \{\mathcal{O}, (0, 1), (0, 4), (1, 1), (1, 4), (4, 1), (4, 4), (3, 0)\}$$

The total number of points on the curve is $\#E(\mathbb{F}_5) = 8$.

- c) Is $Q = (1, 1)$ a generator of the curve?

$$\begin{aligned} 2Q &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} Q + Q \\ x &= ((3 \cdot 1^2 + 4)(2 \cdot 1)^{-1})^2 - 2 \cdot 1 = (2 \cdot 2^{-1})^2 - 2 = -1 \equiv 4 \\ y &= 1(1 - 4) - 1 = -3 - 1 = -4 \equiv 1 \end{aligned}$$

$2Q = (4, 1)$ is a point on the curve.

$$\begin{aligned} 4Q &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} 2Q + 2Q \\ x &= ((3 \cdot 4^2 + 4)(2 \cdot 1)^{-1})^2 - 4 \cdot 2 = (2 \cdot 2^{-1})^2 - 4 \cdot 2 = 3 \\ y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$4Q = (3, 0)$ is a point on the curve.

$$\begin{aligned} 8Q &\stackrel{(ii)}{=} 4Q + 4Q \\ (3, 0) + (3, 0) &= \mathcal{O}, \text{ as this point is selfinverse} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(1, 1)$ is a generator of the curve.

d) The binary representation of 45 is 101101.

$$\begin{aligned}
45P &= P + 4P + 8P + 32P \\
&= P + 2^2P + 2^3P + 2^5P \\
&= P + 2 \cdot 2P + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2P + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2P \\
&= P + 2(2(P + 2P) + 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2P) \\
&= P + 2(2(P + 2(P + 2 \cdot 2P)))
\end{aligned}$$

The last line corresponds to the representation of Horner's scheme.

e) The iterative algorithm starts with the point P . Then it iterates through the bits of k from the MSB k_m down to k_0 . It doubles if the current k_i is zero or it doubles and adds otherwise. At the end of the loop it returns the computed point $Q = kP$.

Algorithm 1 $f_{\text{it}}(P, k = k_m, \dots, k_0)$

```

Q ← P;
for i ← m − 2 downto 0 do
    Q ← 2Q;           // Double
    if  $k_i == 1$  then // if  $i$ -th the bit is 1
        Q ← Q + P;   // Add
    end if;
end for;
return Q;

```

When the iterative algorithm is applied to the given example with $k = 45$, we obtain the following sequence from the for-loop:

$$P, 2P, 2(2P) + P, 2(2(2P) + P), 2(2(2(2P) + P)), 2(2(2(2(2P) + P))) + P$$

The last outcome can be reformulated to $2(2(2(2(2P) + P))) + P = 2^5P + 2^3P + 2^2P + P$ which corresponds to the binary expansion of $45P$.

f) In the recursive algorithm, it calls itself recursively without the last bit.

Algorithm 2 $f_{\text{rec}}(P, k)$

```

if  $k == 1$  then
    return P;
else
    if  $k \bmod 2 = 0$  then // i.e., the LSB is zero
        return  $2 \cdot f_{\text{rec}}(P, k \gg 1)$ ; // Double, right-shift  $k$  by one bit
    else // otherwise the LSB is one
        return  $P + 2 \cdot f_{\text{rec}}(P, k \gg 1)$ ; // Double and Add, right-shift  $k$  by one bit
    end if;
end if;

```

When the recursive algorithm is applied to the given example with $k = 45$, we obtain $45P = P + 2(2(P + 2(P + 2(2P))))$ which corresponds to the Horner's scheme of $45P$.