

2.6. Joint principles of the above

\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} : alphabets = finite set of characters

$$\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}, \quad \mathcal{Y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$$

$\mathcal{X}^l, \mathcal{Y}^l$: words of length $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ over \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}

$\mathcal{M} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}^l$: set of possible plaintexts, messages

$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}^l$, set of possible ciphertexts

$M \in \mathcal{M}$ is called message or plaintext

$C \in \mathcal{C}$ is called ciphertext or cryptogram

\mathcal{K} : set of possible keys, the key space

$K \in \mathcal{K}$: is called key.

Encryption is described by a function

$$e : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} : (M, K) \mapsto C,$$

decryption by a function

$$d : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} : (C, K) \mapsto M$$

Def. 2.8. A cryptosystem is a five-tuple
 $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}, d)$ with $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{E}$ as above
 and e, d functions with

$$e: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad d: \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

such that $d(e(M, K), K) = M$

for all $(M, K) \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{K}$. \perp

(2.6.1) Cryptanalysis

General assumption: O/E knows the cryptosystem
 being used. Kerckhoff's principle

Further information, side information:
 language, context, statistical frequencies, etc.

Objective: determine the key

Different levels of attacks:

- a) Ciphertext only (a string of ciphertext known)
- b) Known plaintext (string of ciphertext and corr. plain.)
- c) Chosen plaintext (access to the enc. machinery)
- d) Chosen ciphertext (access to the decr. machinery)

b) is minimal, c) and d) are hardest.

Classical systems would fail.

3. Cryptanalysis of Classical Systems

3.1 Frequency analysis

Monoalphabetic ciphers retain the frequencies of characters. In English,

$\{E, T, A, O, I, N\}$ combine 51.75% of all frequencies.

Avoid this attack by:

enlarge the alphabet, e.g., DES with $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^{64}$
non-natural languages, compression,

3.2. Friedman-Test

Objective: Decide whether a cipher is mono- or polyalphab.

Alphabet: $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, m\}$

Ciphertext: $C = (C_1, \dots, C_n)$ modeled by i.i.d. r.v. C_1, \dots, C_n
with $P(C_i = \ell) = q_\ell$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m$

Def. 3.1.

$$I_C = I(C_1, \dots, C_n) = \frac{|\{(i, j) \mid C_i = C_j, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}|}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

is called index of coincidence.

$$I_C = \frac{\text{no. of pairs with identical entries}}{\text{no. of all pairs}}$$

Obviously: $I_C = 1 \Leftrightarrow C_1 = \dots = C_n$

$I_C = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ all C_i are different

Different representation of \bar{I}_c

Let $N_e = |\{i \mid C_i = e\}|$, $e = 1, \dots, m$

Then

$$\bar{I}_c = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{e=1}^m N_e(N_e-1)$$

By the strong law of large numbers

$$\frac{N_e}{n} \rightarrow q_e \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (\text{a.e.}) \quad \forall e = 1, \dots, m$$

Hence

$$\bar{I}_c = \sum_{e=1}^m \frac{N_e}{n} \frac{(N_e-1)}{n-1} \rightarrow \sum_{e=1}^m q_e^2 = K_c \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (\text{a.e.})$$

Another representation of \bar{I}_c :

$$\text{Let } Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & C_i = C_j \\ 0, & C_i \neq C_j \end{cases}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

Then

$$\bar{I}_c = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} Y_{ij}$$

Lemma 3.3. $E(\bar{I}_c) = \sum_{e=1}^m q_e^2 = K_c. \quad \square$

Proof. $E(Y_{ij}) = P(C_i = C_j) = \sum_{e=1}^m P(C_i = e, C_j = e)$
 $= \sum_{e=1}^m q_e^2 = K_c$

Hence, $E(\bar{I}_c) = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} K_c = K_c \quad \square$

Summary: \bar{I}_c is an unbiased, strongly consistent estimator of K_c .

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\left(\underbrace{\sum_{e=1}^m q_e}_1 \right)^2 \leq m \sum_{e=1}^m q_e^2 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{e=1}^m q_e^2 \geq \frac{1}{m}$$

with equality iff $q_e = \frac{1}{m} \quad \forall e=1, \dots, m$.

If $q_e = \frac{1}{26}$ (uniform distribution), then

$$K_U = \sum_{e=1}^{26} \frac{1}{26^2} = 0.0385$$

For German language: $K_G = 0.0762$

$I_C \sim 0.0762 \rightarrow$ monoalphabetic cipher

$I_C \sim 0.0385 \rightarrow$ polyalphabetic

Table of K -values:

	English	French	Russian	Arabic
K	0.066895	0.074604	0.056074	0.075889

3.3. Vigenère Cipher, estimate key length

Stochastic model:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, \dots, m-1\} \quad \text{Alphabet}$$

k keyword length, n message length, $k \mid n$

$$M = (M_1, \dots, M_k, M_{k+1}, \dots, M_{2k}, M_{2k+1}, \dots, M_n)$$

$$K = (K_1, \dots, K_k, K_1, \dots, K_k, \dots, K_k)$$

$$\oplus C = (C_1, \dots, C_k, C_{k+1}, \dots, C_{2k}, \dots, C_n)$$

Plaintext, M_i i.i.d., $P(M_i = e) = p_e$ (known)

$$K_i \text{ i.i.d.}, P(K_i = e) = \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\bar{I}_C = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{i < j} Y_{ij}, \quad Y_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & C_i = C_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, i < j$$

$$K_M = \sum_{e=0}^{m-1} p_e^2$$

Lemma 3.5.

$$E(\bar{I}_C) = \frac{1}{k(n-1)} \left[(n-k)K_M + n(k-1)\frac{1}{m} \right] \quad (*)$$

(Outline of proof \rightarrow see lecture notes)

We are interested in k

Resolve for k

$$k = \frac{n(k_M - \frac{1}{m})}{(n-1)E(\bar{I}_c) + k_M - \frac{n}{m}}$$

Estimate $E(\bar{I}_c) = k_C$ by I_c

$$\bar{I}_c \rightarrow E(\bar{I}_c) = k_C \text{ a.e. } (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

In German: $k_M = 0.0762$, $m = 26$

hence:

$$\hat{k} = \frac{0.0377n}{(n-1)\bar{I}_c - 0.0385n + 0.0762}$$

is an estimator of k .

If k is known, write C as follows

$$\hat{C} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1k} \\ c_{k+1,1} & \dots & c_{k+1,k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ c_{sk+1,1} & \dots & c_{sk+1,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

The columns are monoalphabetic, apply a frequency analysis.