

Cryptography 26.04.2019

3.4. Vigenère cipher with running key

To avoid periodicity, use a key of the same length as the plaintext.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ \oplus & \delta_1 & \delta_2 & \dots & \delta_n \\ \hline c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_n \end{array}$$

Frequency attack is possible if $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$ is from a natural language.

Model: M_i : random variable \rightarrow occurrence of plaintext characters.
 K_i : r.v.s \rightarrow occurrence of key characters.

} stoch. ind.

The most frequent characters are: E, T, A, O, I, N, S
57%

$$\mathbb{P}(M_i \in \{E, \dots, S\}) \approx 0.57 \approx \mathbb{P}(K_i \in \{E, \dots, S\})$$

$$\mathbb{P}((M_i, K_i) \in \{E, \dots, S\} \times \{E, \dots, S\}) \approx 0.57^2 = \underline{\underline{0.3249}}$$

\Rightarrow Almost $\frac{1}{3}$ of all ciphertext characters are obtained by adding 2 of the most frequent characters.

Defense against this attack is random key

stream. However, never use a key twice.

Otherwise:

$$(a_1, \dots, a_\ell) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_\ell) = (c_1, \dots, c_\ell)$$

$$(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \oplus (k_1, \dots, k_\ell) = (d_1, \dots, d_\ell)$$

Both are known to "Oscar"

$$(c_i - d_i) \bmod 26 = (a_i - b_i) \bmod 26.$$

it is possible to use the above attack.

4. Entropy and Perfect secrecy

4.1. Entropy

Consider random experiments, e.g., $(0.9, 0.05, 0.05)$.

We aim at a measure of

$$= \begin{cases} \text{uncertainty about the outcome (before)} \\ \text{information gained by the outcome (after)} \end{cases}$$

The measure was introduced by Shannon. (49)

Formal description:

X : discrete random variable with finite support $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$

$$P(X = x_i) = P_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

Information of $X = x_i$: Positive if $P_i < 1$, 0 if $P_i = 1$, $+\infty$ if $P_i = 0$

candidate 1: $\frac{-1}{P_i - 1}$

candidate 2: $-\log P_i$

Def 4.1. Let $c > 1$ be an arbitrary constant.

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^m P_i \log_c P_i = -\sum_i P(X = x_i) \log_c P(X = x_i)$$

* Convention: $0 \cdot \log 0 = 0$; 0 mit (but fix) constant c .

Analogous definition for 2-dimensional random variables

$$(X, Y) : X \times Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \times \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$$

$$P(X = x_i, Y = y_j) = P_{ij}$$

Def 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a) H(X, Y) &= -\sum P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \\
 &= -\sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^m P_{ij} \log P_{ij}
 \end{aligned}$$

is called joint entropy of X, Y

$$\begin{aligned}
 b) H(X|Y) &= -\sum_{j=1}^d P(Y=y_j) \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \\
 &= -\sum_{j=1}^d P(Y=y_j) \sum_{i=1}^m P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i|Y=y_j) \\
 &= -\sum_{j=1}^d P(X=x_i, Y=y_j) \log P(X=x_i|Y=y_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

is called conditional entropy of X given Y .
(equivocation)

Theorem 4.3. a) $0 \leq H(X) \leq \log m$

(i) $\Leftrightarrow \exists x_i: P(X=x_i) = 1$. Singleton dist.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow X$ is uniformly distributed. $P(X=x_i) = 1/m \forall i$

$$b) \quad 0 \underset{(i)}{\leq} H(X|Y) \underset{(ii)}{\leq} H(X)$$

$$(i) \iff \mathbb{P}(X=x_i | Y=y_j) = 1 \quad \forall i, j \text{ with } \mathbb{P}(X=x_i, Y=y_j) > 0$$

(ii) $\iff X, Y$ stoch. independent.

$$c) \quad H(X) \underset{(i)}{\leq} H(X, Y) \underset{(ii)}{\leq} H(X) + H(Y)$$

$$(i) \iff \mathbb{P}(Y=y_j | X=x_i) = 1 \quad \forall i, j \text{ with } \mathbb{P}(X=x_i, Y=y_j) > 0.$$

(ii) $\iff X, Y$ stoch. indep.

d) (chain rule)

$$\begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &= H(X) + H(Y|X) \\ &= H(Y) + H(X|Y) \end{aligned}$$

Another interpretation of $H(X)$:

* Shannon proved that $H(X)$ is a lower bound for the average codeword length of any uniquely decodable code.

• $\log m$ is the worst case average length

• $R = 1 - \frac{H(X)}{\log m}$ is called redundancy of a source.

4.2 Perfect secrecy.

Cryptosystem (M, K, C, e, d) with

$M = \{M_1, \dots, M_m\}$ message space

$K = \{K_1, \dots, K_k\}$ key space

$C = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$ ciphertext space

\hat{M}, \hat{K} independent r.v. with support M and

K resp. : $P(\hat{M} = M_i) = P_i$

$$P(\hat{K} = K_j) = q_{ij}$$

model the occurrence of messages and keys.

Encryption: $e(\hat{M}, \hat{K}) = \hat{C}$

$$P(\hat{C} = C_\ell) = r_\ell = \sum_{(i,j): e(M_i, K_j) = C_\ell} P_i q_{ij}$$

$$H(\hat{M}) = -\sum P_i \log P_i$$

$$H(\hat{K}) = -\sum q_{ij} \log q_{ij}$$

$$H(\hat{C}) = -\sum r_e \log r_e$$

$H(\hat{K} | \hat{C})$: key equivocation

$H(\hat{M} | \hat{C})$: message equivocation

Def. 4.9. A cryptosystem (M, K, C, e, d)

is said to have perfect secrecy if

$$H(\hat{M} | \hat{C}) = H(\hat{M})$$

Interpretation : The knowledge of cryptogram \hat{C} does not decrease uncertainty about M .

Corollary 4.11. A cryptosystem has perfect

secrecy $\Leftrightarrow \hat{M}$ and \hat{C} are stoch. independent

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\hat{M} = M_i | \hat{C} = c_e) = P(\hat{M} = M_i) \quad \forall e: P(\hat{C} = c_e) > 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow P(\hat{C} = c_e | \hat{M} = M_i) = P(\hat{C} = c_e) \quad \forall i: P(\hat{M} = M_i) > 0$$

The above conditions are all tedious to check.

Easy sufficient conditions ↓

Theorem 4.14. $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{C}, e)$ has perfect

secrecy iff

$$(i) \mathbb{P}(\hat{K}=K) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{K}$$

(ii) for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there is

a unique $K \in \mathcal{K}$ s.t. $e(M, K) = C$,

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}=C | \hat{M}=M) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\hat{C}=C, \hat{M}=M)}{\mathbb{P}(\hat{M}=M)} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(e(M, \hat{K})=C, \hat{M}=M)}{\mathbb{P}(\hat{M}=M)} \\ &= \mathbb{P}(e(M, \hat{K})=C) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}=C) &= \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}(\hat{C}=C | \hat{M}=M) \mathbb{P}(\hat{M}=M) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{P}(\hat{M}=M) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{K}|} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{C}, \hat{M}$ are stoch. ind. \Rightarrow Perfect secrecy \square

Vernam ciphers have perfect secrecy.

$$\mathcal{X} = \{0, \dots, m-1\} \quad \mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{C}_N = \mathcal{K}_N = \mathcal{X}^N$$

$$e(M, K) = ((a_1 + s_1) \bmod m, \dots, (a_N + s_N) \bmod m)$$

$$M = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \quad K = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{K} = i) = \frac{1}{m} \quad i = 0, \dots, m-1$$

Theorem: The Vernam cipher has perfect secrecy

Proof.

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{P}(\hat{K}_N = K) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathbb{P}(\hat{K}_i = s_i) = \frac{1}{m^N}$$

uniformly dist.

$$(ii) \quad \forall M \in \mathcal{M}_N, \quad \exists! K \in \mathcal{K}_N : e(M, K) = C$$

$$e(M, C) = K$$

$$K = (s_1, \dots, s_N) : \quad s_j = (c_j - a_j) \bmod m.$$