

Repetition :

Euler φ -function $\varphi(n) = |\mathbb{Z}_n^*|$

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Euler
Special case for prime p : Fermat

6.1 Probabilistic Primality testing

FPT - Fermat Primality Test

- Select randomly some $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$. Compute $a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$
- $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow "n \text{ composite}"$
- Otherwise declare " n prime"

It holds that

$$n \text{ composite}, a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \mathbb{Z}_n^* \Rightarrow a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Proof. Suppose $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \Rightarrow a^{-1}$ exists, namely $a^{-1} = a^{n-2}$
 $\Rightarrow \gcd(a, n) = 1 \Rightarrow a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Contradiction

The least favourable case for the FPT is

" n composite and $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ " $\wedge a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$

Such numbers are called Carmichael numbers. The first ones are

561, 1105, 1729, 2465, 2821, 6601, 29341, 172081, ...

Prop. 6.3 Let n be composite and odd, no Carmichael number

Then $|\{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\} \mid a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}| \geq \frac{n}{2}$

Proof: Script

Hence, for alg. FPT, provided n is not a Carmichael number

$P(\text{FPT states } "n \text{ composite"} \mid "n \text{ composite"}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$, or equivalently

$P(\text{FPT states } "n \text{ prime"} \mid "n \text{ composite"}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ Moreover

$P(\text{FPT states } "n \text{ prime"} \mid "n \text{ prime"}) = 1$

Advantages: Very simple, easy to implement, fast,
error probability is less than $\frac{1}{2^m}$ after m independent trials.

In the following: Probabilistic primality test satisfying for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

1. n prime \Rightarrow Alg declares " n prime" with prob. 1
2. n composite \Rightarrow " " " " n composite" with prob. $\geq \frac{3}{4}$

Def 6.4 Let $n = 1 + q \cdot 2^k$, q odd $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (each odd integer has a representation like this)
Let $a \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \leq a \leq n-1$

a is called a strong witness (to compositeness), if

- (i) $a^q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$
- (ii) $a^{q \cdot 2^i} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{n} \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, k-1$
 $(\not\equiv n-1)$

Abrv. $a \in W(n)$

Prop 6.5 $\exists a \in W(n) \Rightarrow n$ is composite

Proof: Suppose $a \in W(n)$ and n is prime. By Fermat's theorem

$$a^{n-1} = a^{q \cdot 2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Consider successive squares

$$\underbrace{a^q}_{\not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}}, \underbrace{a^{q \cdot 2}}_{\not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}}, \underbrace{a^{q \cdot 2^2}}_{\not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}}, \dots, \underbrace{a^{q \cdot 2^k}}_{\equiv 1 \pmod{n}}$$

Let $j = \max \{0 \leq i \leq k-1 \mid a^{q \cdot 2^i} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}, a^{q \cdot 2^{i+1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}$

$$b = a^{q \cdot 2^j}, \text{s.t. } b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \text{ and } b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

n prime $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a field $\Rightarrow b \equiv 1 \text{ or } b \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$

In summary $b \equiv (-1) \pmod{n}$ contradiction to (ii)

There are only a few $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ with $a \notin W(n)$. More precisely

Theorem 6.6 (Rabin, 1980)

For any odd, composite $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that

$$|\{a \mid 2 \leq a \leq n-1, a \notin W(n)\}| \leq \frac{n}{4}$$

Proof: • Rabin, 1980, Probabilistic alg. for testing primality

J. Number Theory, 12, 128-138

• Koblitz, A course in Number Theory and Cryptography
Springer, New York, 1994, p130ff

Hence, choosing a at random in $\{2, \dots, n-1\}$ with $a \notin W(n)$ has probability $\leq \frac{1}{4}$

MRPT - Miller-Rabin - Primality-Test

- Determine $n = 1 + q \cdot 2^k$, q odd, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$
- Choose $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ at random
- $y = a^q \pmod{n}$
- if $y = 1$ then
 - return "n is prime" $\quad ((a \notin W(n))$
 - end if
- for i from 1 to k
 - if $y = n-1$ then $\quad ((a \notin W(n))$
 - return "n is prime"
 - end if
- $y \leftarrow y^2 \pmod{n}$
- end for
- return "n composite" $\quad a \in W(n)$

Application: Repeat MRPT M times with independently selected $a \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$

If MRPT returns M times "n prime", decide "n prime"
otherwise decide "n is composite"

$$P(\text{decide "n prime" } | \text{"n composite"}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^M$$

$$P(\text{decide "n prime" } | \text{"n prime"}) = ?$$

Exponentially decreasing bound: $\frac{1}{4^M} \approx 0.95 \cdot 10^{-6}$, $\frac{1}{4^{20}} \approx 0.91 \cdot 10^{-12}$

Remark:

Since Aug. 2002 there is a polynomial time deterministic alg.
that determines whether an input number n is prime or composite.

M. Agrawal, N. Kayal, N. Saxena : PRIMES is in P
Annals of Mathematics, 160 (2004), 781-793

General assessment of this work

- There is a polynomial time alg. to prove that a number is prime or composite
- Much slower than the probabilistic alg. MRPT, most times inacceptably slow
- Feeling: We can live with some error probability of 2^{-1000} , say.

How to find large prime numbers:

Choose $\overset{\text{odd}}{\checkmark} m \in \mathbb{N}$ (m large). Iterate $m \leftarrow m+2$ until a prime number n is found by MRPT

The prime number theorem states:

Theorem 6.7 / It holds

$$|\{p \mid p \leq n, \text{ prime}\}| \sim \frac{n}{\ln(n)}$$

Hence, the prob. that a randomly chosen $m \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$ is prime is $\sim \frac{1}{\ln(n)}$.

Eg. $n = 2^{512}$, select only odd integers $\frac{2}{\ln(2^{512})} \approx \frac{1}{177.4} \approx 5.64 \cdot 10^{-3}$

6.2 The Integer Factorization Problem

"Easy": Decide whether a given integer n is composite.

"Hard": Find its prime factorization.

Pollard's $p-1$ factoring alg.

Given composite n . Assume that n has a prime factor p such that $p-1$ has all prime factors $\leq B$.

Let C s.t. $p-1 \mid C$, e.g. $C = B!$ has this property (only) with high probability

Algorithm Pollard-($p-1$)

- Choose $a > 1$ (often $a=2$)
- Compute $b = a^C \pmod{n}$
- Compute $d = \gcd(b-1, n)$
- If $1 < d < n$, then d is a non-trivial factor of n

Proof that Pollard-($p-1$) is correct:

Assume p is a prime factor of n s.t. $p-1$ has all prime factors $\leq B$.

Let $p-1 \mid C$, e.g. $C = B!$, i.e., $C = k(p-1)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$

By Fermat's little theorem

$$a^C \equiv (a^{p-1})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Hence, $a^C - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ such that

$p \leq \gcd(b-1, n)$ is a factor of n

Remarks: a) If choosing $c = B!$ then compute $a^{B!} \bmod n$ as follows

$$b_0 = a \quad b_j = b_{j-1}^j \bmod n \quad j = 1, \dots, B$$

b) To overcome the possibility that $p-1 \nmid B!$ substitute $B!$ by

$$C = \prod_{q \leq B} q^{\lfloor \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(q)} \rfloor} \quad \text{[it holds]} \quad q^{\lfloor \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(q)} \rfloor} \leq q^{\log_q(n)} = n \\ q^{\lceil \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln(q)} \rceil} \geq q^{\log_q(n)} = n$$

Moreover $C \ll B$

c) You might be unhappy that $\gcd(b-1, n) = 1$

(\Rightarrow q has also some small prime factors, where $n = p \cdot q$)

To protect against Pollard - $(p-1)$ select

$n = p \cdot q$ s.t. $p-1$ and $q-1$ have at least one large prime number factor. How?

e.g. Sophie-Germaine primes $p = 2 \cdot r + 1$ p, r are prime
(or replace 2 by some integer k)