Modelling and Analysis of a Mobility-based Information Network

Virgilio Rodriguez, Rudolf Mathar
email: vr@ieee.org

Institute for Theoretical Information Technology

RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

Executive Summary

- We focus on a delay-tolerant, “intermittently connected” information network in which a terminal communicates only when it is near another
- Far from an impairment or even a secondary assistant, mobility is indispensable
- Store-carry-and-forward relaying provides the essential mean of data transfer
- As an abstraction, we study a simple model in which “random walkers” exchange information when they meet
- We identify an interesting network architecture, and an available enabling technology
- Our ongoing study has led to many important questions, and to a few answers
- In a low-node-density scenario, a mobility-based network is feasible provided that the terminals move over at most 2 dimensions, because then each pair meets infinitely often
- Many important questions remain unanswered

Mobility-based information network

- In the typical communication network, any pair of “nodes” can talk to each other at any time, at least with the help of intermediate nodes (relaying).
- But such permanent connectivity is not always practical or possible.
- When the application is delay-tolerant, and (some of) the nodes are mobile, an “intermittently connected” network may be practical.
- In such case, a terminal communicates only when it is near another $\Rightarrow$ mobility is indispensable
- Sample applications
  - wildlife monitoring (TurtleNet, ZebraNet) [1]
  - livestock monitoring
  - delay-tolerant human communication (e-mail, short messages, etc) as in Student-Net
  - asynchronous Internet service (India’s Daknet [2])

Store-carry-forward relaying



- Store-carry-and-forward (SCF) relaying is indispensable
- A sends a packet to B, B stores, carries and forwards it to C when B and C are sufficiently close
- Special-purpose nodes may help:
  - “data mules” may randomly move and collect data from sensors
  - a “normal” vehicle (such as a taxi or bus) may be a “data mule”
  - simple static “throw boxes” in strategic locations may aid data exchange

Random walkers analytical model



- A simple random-walkers model is a useful abstraction (relevant models have been studied [3])
- A walker hops left or right with equal probability
- When walkers “meet” they may communicate
- Static “walkers” may collect and/or help transfer data
- Model appropriate if terminals do *not* adjust mobility to facilitate (or frustrate) communication.

Critical low-density question

- Since terminals need to meet in order to communicate, and obvious concern is: will they meet “often enough” when terminals are “few” (for example, if there are only 2 “walkers”)?
- Since data is generated at perpetuity, they must meet infinitely often
- Worst case scenario: Do 2 random walkers in a “large” area meet infinitely often?
- Answer: YES, if they “walk” over a 1- or 2-dimensional region. Otherwise, they may never meet (possibly after a finite number of meetings)
- Many application scenarios can be reasonably modelled as 2D or even 1D (corridor, highway, etc).
- But WARNING: a dimension need not be spatial (for example, consider a frequency-hopping system)

More questions than answers

Many important questions remain unanswered:

- Even with only 3 “walkers”:
  - If A has data for B and meets C, how much data should A transfer to C for C to carry and eventually forward to B (if relaying is “costly”)?
  - By how much does relaying increase “capacity”?
  - If all 3 meet, how should the channel be allocated? Should “broadcasting” be used, and if so, which “gain” would result?
- With more walkers:
  - How to mitigate interference, when 2 pairs meet near each other?
  - How high must “walker density” be to justify “channelisation”?

ZebraNet (Princeton U.)



- power/location-aware sensor net deployed in Kenya
- selected zebras fitted with a sensing/transmitting collar
- integrates computing, radio, non-volatile storage, sensors
- no centralised data collection: while travelling, researchers radio-receive recorded data from zebras
- enables novel studies of animal migrations and inter-species interactions

TurtleNet (U. of Massachusetts)

- turtles fitted with GPS, solar panel, radio and battery within weight/size limits
- location, body temp periodically recorded
- when 2 are within 150m, devices swap data
- data relaying ends at a single base station
- device dynamically adapts to energy status

A “throwbox” in DieselNet for relaying

Daknet: the electro-mechanical Internet



See our VTC-Fall’09 paper and:

[1] Z. J. Haas and T. Small, “A new networking model for biological applications of ad hoc sensor networks,” *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 27–40, 2006.

[2] A. Pentland, R. Fletcher, and A. Hasson, “Daknet: rethinking connectivity in developing nations,” *Computer*, vol. 37, pp. 78–83, Jan. 2004.

[3] Y. Wang, H. Dang, and H. Wu, “A survey on analytic studies of delay-tolerant mobile sensor networks,” *Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing*, vol. 7, no. 10, 2007.